



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F. | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/068,313 | | 02/06/2002 | Robert L. Miller II | 01-2122.02 | 8860 |
| 24504 | 7590 | 12/01/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | N, HORSTEME | DELGADO, MICHAEL A | | |
| 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ATLANTA, | GA 303 | 339-5948 | 2144 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/068,313 | MILLER ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Michael S. A. Delgado | 2144 | |

| | Michael S. A. Delgado | 2144 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in to be with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me to of the final rejection. | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or | • • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been consideration. | | • | |
| The argument presented is not persuasive. | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |
| | | L DAVOCHARI EV | <u> </u> |
| | SUPERV | LDAVID WILEY ISORY PATENT EXAM | |